

Thursday, August 14, 2008

## Mathematical Statistics Preliminary Examination

Statistics Group, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. It is a closed-book and in-class exam.
2. One page (letter size, 8.5-by-11in) cheat sheet is allowed.
3. Calculator is allowed. No laptop (or equivalent).
4. Show your work to receive full credits. *Highlight your final answer.*
5. Solve any **five** problems out of the seven problems.
6. Total points are **50**. Each question is worth **10** points.
7. If you work out more than five problems, your score is the sum of five highest points.
8. Time: **150** minutes. (9:00am–11:30am, Thursday, August 14, 2008)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total

Notation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} \mu_x \\ \mu_y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y \\ \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y & \sigma_y^2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

means  $X$  and  $Y$  jointly follow a bivariate normal distribution such that

$$E(X) = \mu_x, E(Y) = \mu_y, \text{var}(X) = \sigma_x^2, \text{var}(Y) = \sigma_y^2, \text{cov}(X, Y) = \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y.$$

---

1. Let  $Z = X_2 | (X_1 > 0)$ , where

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Find the pdf of  $Z$ .

2. The joint distribution of  $Y$  and  $X$  is given by the following hierarchical model

$$Y|X \sim \text{Poisson}(X), \quad X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta).$$

Calculate  $E(X|Y)$ .

3. (a) Let  $X$  be a  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  random variable with density function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x/\beta}, \quad x > 0; \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

Find the density of  $Y = e^{-X}$ .

- (b) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be an iid random sample from  $\text{uniform}(0, 1)$ . Find the density of  $Y = X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

4. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the following distribution:

$$f(x|\theta) = \binom{2}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{2-x} I_{\{0,1,2\}}(x),$$

where the parameter space for the unknown  $\theta$  is  $\Theta = [0, 1]$ .

- (a) Is there a one-dimensional sufficient statistic and if so, what is it? Does a complete sufficient statistic exist?
- (b) Find a maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta^2 = P(X_1 = 2)$ . Is it unbiased?
- (c) Find a uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$  if such exists.

5. Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a random vector from an unknown distribution. According to the Neyman-Pearson Lemma, if  $H_0$  is the simple null hypothesis that the joint density is  $g(\mathbf{x})$  versus  $H_1$  the simple alternative hypothesis that the joint density is  $h(\mathbf{x})$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is the *best critical region of size  $\alpha$* , if, for  $k > 0$ : (i)  $\frac{g(\mathbf{x})}{h(\mathbf{x})} \leq k$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}$ , (ii)  $\frac{g(\mathbf{x})}{h(\mathbf{x})} \geq k$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^c$ , and (iii)  $\alpha = P_{H_0}(\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{R})$ .

Now let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a distribution that has a probability mass function  $f(x)$  that is positive only on the nonnegative integers. We wish to test the simple hypothesis

$$H_0 : f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{-1}}{x!}, & x = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

against the alternative simple hypothesis

$$H_1 : f(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x+1}, & x = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

- Determine the best critical region  $\mathcal{R}$  for the case  $n = 1$  and  $k = 1$ .
  - Compute the level of the test for the case  $n = 1$  and  $k = 1$ .
  - Compute the power of the test (when  $H_1$  is true) for the case  $n = 1$  and  $k = 1$ .
6. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the Laplace distribution with density

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-|x-\theta|}.$$

Suppose  $n = 2k + 1$  is odd. Find the maximum likelihood estimator and show that it does not satisfy the likelihood equation  $\partial \log L(\theta) / \partial \theta = 0$ .

7. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is an iid sample from a population with density  $f(x; \theta)$ , where  $\theta$  is a unknown parameter.  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two different unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ . It is known that the joint distribution of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is bivariate normal,

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left( \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We want to use  $T(\lambda) = \lambda S_1 + (1 - \lambda) S_2$  for testing  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  versus  $H_a : \theta \neq 0$ , where  $\lambda$  is a constant. Consider the rejection region of the form  $|T(\lambda)| > c$ .

- Determine  $c$  at significance level  $\alpha$ .
- Expression the power function  $\beta(\theta)$  in terms of  $\Phi$ , where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cumulative distribution function of  $N(0, 1)$ .
- Find  $\lambda$  that maximizes  $\beta(\theta)$  for any given  $\theta$ .

## Solutions

1. The cdf of  $Z$  is

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z \leq z) &= P(X_2 \leq z | X_1 > 0) = \frac{P(X_2 \leq z, X_1 > 0)}{P(X_1 > 0)} \\ &= 2P(X_2 \leq z, Y > -(\rho/\sigma^2)X_2) \\ &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^z f_{X_2}(x) \int_{-(\rho/\sigma^2)x}^{\infty} f_Y(y) dy dx \end{aligned}$$

where  $Y = X_1 - (\rho/\sigma^2)X_2 \sim N(0, 1 - \rho^2/\sigma^2)$  and  $Y$  is independent of  $X_2$ . Thus,

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{d}{dz} P(Z \leq z) = 2f_{X_2}(z) \int_{-(\rho/\sigma^2)z}^{\infty} f_Y(y) dy = \frac{2}{\sigma} \phi(z/\sigma) \Phi\left(\frac{-(\rho/\sigma^2)z}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2/\sigma^2}}\right),$$

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  is a standard normal density and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is a cdf of a standard normal rv.

2. The joint density of  $(Y, X)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(y, x) &= f(y|x)f(x) = \frac{1}{y!} e^{-x} x^y \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} e^{x/\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha y!} e^{y+\alpha-1} e^{-(1+1/\beta)x}, \quad x > 0, y = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The marginal density of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= \int_0^\infty f(y, x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(y + \alpha)(1 + 1/\beta)^{-(y+\alpha)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha y!} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(y + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)y!} \frac{\beta^y}{(1 + \beta)^{y+\alpha}}, \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the conditional density of  $X|Y$  is

$$f(x|y) = \frac{(1 + 1/\beta)^{y+\alpha}}{\Gamma(y + \alpha)} x^{y+\alpha-1} e^{-(1+1/\beta)x}, \quad x > 0.$$

It is a Gamma( $y + \alpha, (1 + 1/\beta)^{-1}$ ) distribution and

$$E(X|Y) = \frac{Y + \alpha}{1 + 1/\beta}.$$

3. (a). It is easy to see that  $X = -\log(Y)$ . The density of  $Y$  is

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} (-\log(Y))^{\alpha-1} y^{1/\beta-1}, \quad 0 < y < 1.$$

When  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ ,  $Y$  follows uniform(0, 1).

(b). Let  $T = -\log(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{-\log(X_i)\}$ . Because  $X_i$  follows uniform(0, 1), we know that  $-\log(X_i)$  follows Gamma(1, 1) and  $T$  follows Gamma( $n$ , 1). Applying the results in (a), the density of  $Y = e^{-T}$  is

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} (-\log(y))^{n-1} = \frac{(-\log(y))^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \quad 0 < y < 1.$$

4. (a). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{2}{x_i} \theta^{x_i} (1-\theta)^{2-x_i} I_{\{0,1,2\}}(x_i) \\ &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta)^{2n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{2}{x_i} I_{\{0,1,2\}}(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the factorization theorem,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a one-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Since

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\{0,1,2\}}(x_i) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \left( \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right) + 2n \log(1-\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \binom{2}{x_i} \right\},$$

is one parameter exponential family with  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\eta = \log(\theta/(1-\theta)) \in \bar{\mathbb{R}} = \{-\infty\} \cup \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathcal{N}_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_0$  contains a one-dimensional open rectangle,  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the CSS for  $\theta$ .

(b). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} l(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \theta + \left( 2n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(1-\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \binom{2}{x_i} \\ \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{\theta} - \frac{2n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-\theta} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}/2$  and so  $\hat{\theta}^2 = (\bar{X}/2)^2$ .

Also, since  $E(X_1) = 2\theta$  and  $E(X_1^2) = 2\theta(1+\theta)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\theta}^2) &= \frac{1}{4n^2} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} X_i X_j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4n} E(X_1^2) + \frac{n-1}{4n} E(X_1)^2 \\ &= \theta^2 + \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if  $\theta \neq 0$  or  $2$ ,  $\hat{\theta}^2$  is not an unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$ .

(c). If we let  $T(X) = I(X_1 = 2)$  then  $E_\theta(T(X)) = P_\theta(X_1 = 2) = \theta^2$ . Thus,  $I(X_1 = 2)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta^2$ . And we know that  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim B(2n, \theta)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta \left( I(X_1 = 2) \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t \right) &= P_\theta \left( X_1 = 2 \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t \right) \\ &= \frac{P_\theta(X_1 = 2) \cdot P_\theta(\sum_{i=2}^n X_i = t - 2)}{P_\theta(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = t)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{2!}{2!0!} \theta^2 (1 - \theta)^0 \cdot \frac{(2n-2)!}{(t-2)!(2n-t)!} \theta^{t-2} (1 - \theta)^{2n-t}}{\frac{(2n)!}{t!(2n-t)!} \theta^t (1 - \theta)^{2n-t}} \\ &= \frac{t(t-1)}{2n(2n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Rao-Blackwell-Lehmann-Scheffé theorem,  $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - 1)}{2n(2n-1)}$  is the UMVUE of  $\theta^2$ .

5. (a). Here

$$\frac{g(\mathbf{x})}{h(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{e^{-n}/(x_1!x_2! \cdots x_n!)}{(1/2)^n (1/2)^{x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n}} = \frac{(2e^{-1})^{n \sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!}.$$

Thus the best critical region is

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \frac{(2e^{-1})^{n \sum x_i}}{\prod x_i!} \leq k \right\}.$$

For  $k = 1, n = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = \{x_1 : 2^{x_1}/x_1! \leq e/2\}$ . It is easy to see that this inequality is satisfied by all nonnegative integers except 1 and 2. Thus,  $\mathcal{R} = \{0, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$ .

(b). The level of the test is

$$\alpha = P_{H_0}(X_1 \in \mathcal{R}) = 1 - P_{H_0}(X_1 = 1, 2) = 1 - 1/e - 1/2e = 0.448.$$

(c). The power of the test is given by

$$P_{H_1}(X_1 \in \mathcal{R}) = 1 - P_{H_1}(X_1 = 1, 2) = 1 - 1/4 - 1/8 = 0.625.$$

6. The log likelihood function is given by

$$\log L(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = -n \log 2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|.$$

Note that maximizing  $\log L$  is the same as minimizing  $g(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - \theta|$ . We can write  $g(\theta)$  using the order statistics  $x_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq x_{(n)}$  as  $g(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{(i)} - \theta|$ . To find the minimizer of  $g(\theta)$ , suppose  $x_{(j)} \leq \theta \leq x_{(j+1)}$ . Then

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\theta - x_{(i)}) + x_{(j+1)} - x_{(j)} + \sum_{i=j+2}^n (x_{(i)} - \theta).$$

Now increasing  $\theta$  by a small amount  $\epsilon$  will increase the left-hand sum by  $(j-1)\epsilon$  and decrease the right-hand sum by  $(n-j-1)\epsilon$ . Thus  $g(\theta)$  will decrease iff  $n-j-1 > j-1$  or  $n > 2j$ . Since  $n = 2k+1$ , the sum will drop if we increase  $j$  up to  $j = k$ . Moreover, if  $x_{(k)} \leq \theta \leq x_{(k+1)}$ , then increasing  $\theta$  by  $\epsilon$  will decrease  $g(\theta)$  until  $\theta = x_{(k+1)}$  since  $n > 2k$ . For  $j \geq k+1$ , we have  $n < 2j$  and thus  $g(\theta)$  increases in  $\theta$  if  $\theta > x_{(k+1)}$ . Thus, the MLE is  $\hat{\theta} = x_{(k+1)}$ .

Now  $\hat{\theta} = x_{(k+1)}$  does not satisfy the likelihood equation because the likelihood equation is not differentiable at any data point.

7. The distribution of  $T(\lambda)$  is normal with mean  $\theta$  and variance

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^2 &= \text{var}[T(\lambda)] = \lambda^2 \text{var}(S_1) + (1-\lambda)^2 \text{var}(S_2) + 2\lambda(1-\lambda) \text{cov}(S_1, S_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2)\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{n}2(\sigma_2^2 - \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2)\lambda + \frac{1}{n}\sigma_2^2.\end{aligned}$$

The power function is

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\theta) &= P_\theta(|T(\lambda)| > c) = P_\theta(T(\lambda) > c) + P_\theta(T(\lambda) < -c) \\ &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{c-\theta}{\tau}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{-c-\theta}{\tau}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Because the significance level is  $\alpha$ , we have

$$\alpha = \beta(0) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{c}{\tau}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{-c}{\tau}\right),$$

which yields  $c = \tau z_{\alpha/2}$ . So the power function can be written as

$$\beta(\theta) = 1 - \Phi\left(z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\theta}{\tau}\right) + \Phi\left(-z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\theta}{\tau}\right)$$

For any given  $\theta$ ,

$$\frac{\partial\beta(\theta)}{\partial\tau} = -\phi\left(z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\theta}{\tau}\right)\frac{\theta}{\tau^2} + \phi\left(-z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\theta}{\tau}\right)\frac{\theta}{\tau^2} < 0,$$

where  $\phi$  is the density of  $N(0, 1)$ . Notice that the above inequality holds because when  $\theta > 0$ ,  $\phi(-z_{\alpha/2} - \theta/\tau) < \phi(z_{\alpha/2} - \theta/\tau)$  and when  $\theta < 0$ ,  $\phi(-z_{\alpha/2} - \theta/\tau) > \phi(z_{\alpha/2} - \theta/\tau)$ .

Therefore, in order to maximize  $\beta(\theta)$ , we only need to minimize  $\tau$ . Because  $\tau$  is a quadratic function of  $\lambda$  and  $(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2) > 0$ ,  $\tau^2$  is minimized at

$$\lambda = \frac{\sigma_2^2 - \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 - 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2}.$$