

**[EXT] Your submission (2021-2753) to AIAEE 2021 has been accepted as ORAL PRESENTATION**

Manuscript FastTrack <fasttrack@expressacademic.org>

Tue 11/9/2021 2:47 PM

To: AKUA Adu Gyamfi <aza0043@auburn.edu>

CAUTION: Email Originated Outside of Auburn.

Dear Akua Adu-Gyamfi,

I am pleased to inform you that your proposal "Climate-smart agriculture: Perception of smallholder cocoa farmers in Ghana" (2021-2753) has been accepted as an ORAL Presentation at the 2022 AIAEE Conference. Congratulations on your achievement.

Please read the following information carefully:

Presenter Instructions and Conference Registration

Presenters will be required to register and pay the conference registration fee by the regular registration deadline of February 1, 2022.

Conference details, and registration will be available at: <https://aiaee2022.weebly.com>

Conference proceedings will not be published until after the conference.

Oral presentations must be presented by an author at the conference in order to be included in the official proceedings.

Should something happen so that you or the co-authors are unable to attend the conference to present the abstract, please notify us immediately so that one of the alternates already selected will be able to present at the conference in your place.

Revised abstracts

Authors wishing to make revisions to their abstracts may do so by uploading the revised copy to FastTrack as an attachment to their original submission (NO new submissions!). Please carefully edit revised abstracts in accordance with the AIAEE call and APA formatting guidelines. If you omitted location or university names for anonymity, insert appropriate names. No new authors may be added. You must have your revised abstract uploaded by February 1, 2022.

Details

Please direct requests for letters of acceptance/invitations to present to Dr. Ataharul Chowdhury, AIAEE Secretary, at [ataharul.chowdhury@uoguelph.ca](mailto:ataharul.chowdhury@uoguelph.ca)

Please do not hesitate to contact us with your questions.

Thank you again for submitting your work to AIAEE 2021 and we look forward to seeing your Oral Presentation presentation!

Best regards,

Dr. Richie Roberts, Abstracts Chair, roberts3@lsu.edu

Dr. John Diaz, Poster Chair, john.diaz@ufl.edu

Dr. Anil Kumar Chaudhary, auk259@psu.edu

=====

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

=====

Reviewer #1:

1. Clarity of purpose and objectives is evident.

2: Needs some improvement

2. Introduction clearly articulate need for innovation, research, or practice.

Good

3. Methods and data sources OR theoretical/philosophical themes concerning the problem, situation, or issues are clearly articulated.

Good

4. Content, information, points made, findings, or proposed procedures are specific, accurate, clear, and well organized with the main points articulated well.

Needs some improvement

5. Results and/or conclusions and recommendations are presented logically, are supported by the findings, and are clearly compatible with theme of the paper/poster.

Good

6. Educational importance and/or contribution to an increased body of knowledge and/or practice.

Good

7. Writing style, grammar, spelling, punctuation, organization.

Good

8. Reviewer's Comments: It is important for us to share information with author(s). Your comments will be kept anonymous and your name will be removed before sending. Please enter your comments here before submitting this form.

purpose of this project was to enhance small-scale [country] cocoa farmers' . . . The project will not enhance small-scale farmers. This needs to be reworded. Perhaps say this research will provide information which will lead to enhancing small-scale farmers . . . .

Most of the respondents attained basic education (70%), were married (65%), and were farming on lands they received as an inheritance (30%). 30% is not most.

This abstract is 781 words. Why not use the allotted amount of words to more clearly communicate your findings?

9. Does this abstract have relevance to a global audience?

Yes

10. Recommendation

Probably Accept

Reviewer #2:

1. Clarity of purpose and objectives is evident.

2: Needs some improvement

2. Introduction clearly articulate need for innovation, research, or practice.

Needs some improvement

3. Methods and data sources OR theoretical/philosophical themes concerning the problem, situation, or issues are clearly articulated.

Needs substantial improvement

4. Content, information, points made, findings, or proposed procedures are specific, accurate, clear, and well organized with the main points articulated well.

Good

5. Results and/or conclusions and recommendations are presented logically, are supported by the findings, and are clearly compatible with theme of the paper/poster.

Needs some improvement

6. Educational importance and/or contribution to an increased body of knowledge and/or practice.

Needs some improvement

7. Writing style, grammar, spelling, punctuation, organization.

Needs some improvement

8. Reviewer's Comments: It is important for us to share information with author(s). Your comments will be kept anonymous and your name will be removed before sending. Please enter your comments here before submitting this form.

Method section requires substantial improvement.

9. Does this abstract have relevance to a global audience?

Yes

10. Recommendation

Probably Accept

