Fw: 2019 MSERA Annual Meeting Proposal Submission Decision

David Marshall
Sat 24-08-2019 19:21

To: Sarah Woods <sbw0018@auburn.edu>; Parinita Shetty <pds0022@tigermail.auburn.edu>

Sarah & Pari,

Our proposal was accepted! We should probably schedule a time to discuss this. Please let me know what your availability is over the next couple of weeks (days and times). I hope the fall semester is off to a great start for both of you.

Enjoy the rest of your weekend!
DM

_____

From: 2019 MSERA Annual Meeting <programchair@msera.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2019 3:51 PM
To: David Marshall
Subject: 2019 MSERA Annual Meeting Proposal Submission Decision

David Marshall
dtm0023@auburn.edu
Submission ID: 171

On behalf of the 2019 MSERA Program Committee, I am pleased to inform you that your submission, Understanding Professional Knowledge Development in a Rural-Serving Alternative Teacher Preparation Program, has been accepted! We have included the reviewers' feedback at the end of this message. We will provide you with details about the date, time, and format of your presentation by October 1st. Please share this email with any coauthors on your submission.

The Annual Meeting will be held November 6-8, 2019 at the Embassy Suites in New Orleans. All presenters must be registered attendees. If the lead author has not pre-registered by October 18, 2019, your submission may be removed from the program. Please visit the MSERA website (www.msera.org) to register and learn other Annual Meeting details.

Congratulations, and I look forward to seeing you in New Orleans!

Adam Elder
2019 Program Chair
programchair@msera.org

****

AUTHOR COMMENTS: Interesting topic that supports existing literature. You used a variety of data to investigate this topic.

****

AUTHOR COMMENTS: This information will be very important to others with similar programs. Thank you for sharing.

****

AUTHOR COMMENTS: You did a nice job of covering all the needed components of a proposal. I appreciated how you described your various data sources for this case study. A suggestion for your paper would be to describe the details of your analysis procedures per data source, your trustworthiness methods, and how you integrated the findings from the multiple data sources.