

#### COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Preparing Competent, Committed, and Reflective Professionals

# AUBURN UNIVERSITY SPRING 2014 SYLLABUS

1. Course Number: HIED 7200

**Instructors:** Dr. Sydney Freeman, Jr.

**Course Title**: Organization in Higher Education

**Credit Hours:** 3 semester hours

Class Meetings: Mondays 5:00-7:50 PM (Haley 2442)
Office: Haley Center 4080, phone (256) 457-9014

Office Hours: By appointment

E-mail: freemsy@auburn.edu

**Pre-/Co-requisites:** None

2. Date Syllabus Prepared: November 2013

**3. Required Text:** Hendrickson, R. M., Lane, J. E., Harris, J. T., Dorman, R. H.

(2012). Academic Leadership and Governance of Higher

Education: A Guide for Trustees, Leaders, and Aspiring Leaders of Two- and Four-Year Institutions. Stylus Publishers, LLC, Sterling,

Va.

Bastedo, M. N. (Ed.). (2012). *The Organization of Higher Education: Managing Colleges for a New Era*. Baltimore, MD:

Johns Hopkins University Press.

Cohen, A. R. & Bradford, D. L. (2012). Influence Up. John Wiley

& Sons, Inc. Hoboken, New Jersey

**4. Catalog Description:** This course involves exploration, discussion and application of theories, concepts and principles of organization from a multi-disciplinary, multi-professional perspective, to higher education organizations.

## 5. Course Objectives: Students will

- I. Display a graduate-level understanding of organizational issues and structures present in higher education institutions.
- II. Understand and explain elements of Collegiality, Bureaucracy, Political and Anarchical systems present in higher education organizations and principles of the Learning Organizations model to issues and cases.
- III. Present peer-reviewed/professional level quality research paper.
- IV. Submit a paper of publishable quality to course instructor.

### 6. Course Content

- I. <u>Understanding Colleges and Universities as Organizations</u>
- A. Review of Higher Education as a Field of Study
- B. Review of the Core and Historical Literature of Higher Education Organizations
- C. Review of New Lines of Inquiry about Higher Education Organizations
- D. Organizational Theory In Higher Education Governance and organization
- II. Structure and Dynamics of the Higher Education Enterprise
- A. Global Issues in Higher Education
- B. External Constituency Interest Impact on Higher Education
- C. Legal Issues in Higher Education
- D. Boundary Spanners: Trusteeship and The Academic Presidency
- E. The Academic Core
- F. Student Affairs and Accountability
- III. Connecting Theory to Practice
- A. Developing Credibility and Influencing Up
- B. Building Partnerships with Your Supervisor
- C. Influencing Powerful People

## 7. Course Requirements:

1. **Final Paper.** All papers need must be double-spaced, 15-20 page microsoft word documents excluding references. The paper needs to address a pressing and relevant topic in higher education organizational leadership. And it needs to be either structured using a theoretical design (that extends current literature) or be a position paper on one of the higher education topics. Literature reviews will not be accepted. Manuscripts should conform to the American Psychological Association style as described in the Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association (6th Ed.). An abstract of approximately 225 words should accompany the paper.

## Sample Issues include:

- State Budget Cuts and Higher Education Funding; The Rising Tide of Accountability
- The Changing Role of Accreditation
- From Access to Completion: Changing Policy Priorities
- The Rising Cost of College
- Student Financial Aid and Student Debt
- Online Teaching and Learning: Trends in Online Education and MOOCs,
- For-Profit Higher Education
- Faculty Status
- Adjuncts—The New Majority
- Intercollegiate Athletics
- Tenure and Promotion
- Leadership turnover
- Preparing Doctoral Students for non-faculty positions
- 2. **Individual Presentation.** In consultation with the instructor you present on an issue or topic based on your final paper. This will be a 30-minute presentation to the class at a scheduled time during a regular session of the class. This project presents an opportunity for you to demonstrate your expertise and further develop your oral communication skills
- 3. **Group Presentation.** Students will be placed in 7 teams of 3 students and asked to present/teach a topic based on the readings in the course. This will be a 30-minute presentation to the class at a scheduled time during a regular session of the class.
- 4. **Peer Review of Two Papers.** Students will review two of their colleagues papers to provide critical feedback before the last final paper is submitted.

## 8. Grading and Evaluation Procedures:

The final grade for the course will be based on your performance in the following categories (Final Paper, Individual and Group presentation). All grades are computed on an individual basis. Grade for the Group Presentation will be awarded on a team basis. The students will agree ahead of time that regardless of the actual performance of each member of the group, the combined performance of the presentation will be evaluated as a single score for the team.

# Rubric for Scoring Final Paper

	To little extent	To some extent	To great extent
References are primarily peer-reviewed	10	15	20
professional journals or other approved			
sources (e.g., government documents,			
agency manuals, etc.) The reader is			
confident that the information and ideas			
can be trusted			
Thesis statement is presented clearly and	10	15	20
compellingly at the beginning of the paper			
and persuasively developed throughout.			
Calls upon previous scholarship as	10	15	20
evidence and makes explicit why those			
selections are both relevant and supportive			
in a convincing way.			
Addresses previous scholarship and	10	15	20
acknowledges potential sources of			
contention or ambiguity, either historically			
or contemporarily in a convincing,			
relevant way.			
Writing style and grammar appropriate for	10	15	20
paper format.			

# Rubric for Scoring Individual Presentation

	To little extent	To some extent	To great extent
Prepared and had grasp of content	10	15	20
Used critical thinking to "have a take" about paper, not simply providing data. Synthesis	10	15	20
Related presentation information back to course concepts and ideas.	10	15	20
Presented Material in an interesting way, used visual aids, and made eye contact with the audience.	10	15	20
Spoke loudly enough, slowly and clearly	10	15	20

# Rubric for Scoring Group Presentations

	To little extent	To some extent	To great extent
Presented information about book in	10	15	20
clear and concise manner.			
Used critical thinking to "have a	10	15	20
take" about book, not simply			
explaining chapter by chapter.			
Synthesis			
Related presentation information	10	15	20
back to course concepts and ideas.			
Presented Material in an interesting	10	15	20
way, used visual aids, and made eye			
contact with the audience.			
Spoke loudly enough, slowly and	10	15	20
clearly			

Peer Review of Two Papers	100 pts.
Final Paper	100 pts.
Individual Presentation	100 pts
Team Presentation	100 pts.
Total	400 points

The following grading scale will be used:

90 - 100 = A 80 - 89 = B 70 - 79 = C 60 - 69 = D Below 60 = F

### 9. University Policy Statements:

- I. Students are expected to attend all class meetings and participate in all classroom exercises (<u>Tiger Cub</u>, p. 73). Should students need to be absent for any reasons, please contact the course instructor before missing that class meeting.
- II. Students are responsible for initiating arrangements for missed work due to excused absences (<u>Tiger Cub</u>, p. 74)
- III. Students who need special accommodations should make an appointment to discuss the Accommodation Memo during office hours as soon as possible. If you do not have an Accommodations Memo, contact the Program for Students with Disabilities, in 1244 Haley Center as soon as possible. Telephone: 334-844-2096.
- IV. All portions of the Auburn University Honesty Code can be found in the <u>Tiger Cub</u> (Title XII) will apply in this class.
- V. A grade of incomplete will not be given except under extreme circumstances as determined by the instructor.

# 9. Course Schedule for Spring 2014

Week	Topic	<b>Assignment Due</b>	<b>Guest Speaker</b>
1	Reviewing the Field	Read: Bastedo (2012) p. 3-44	
(January 13)			
2	MLK	Holiday-No	Class
(January 20)			
	)		m t G H D t
3	New Lines of Inquiry	Read: Bastedo (2012) p. 278-334	Talia Carroll, Editor & Frank Hernandez,
(January 27)	inquiry	(2012) p. 276-334	Associate Editor for
(**************************************		Choose Group	Digital Content,
		<b>Presentation Topic</b>	Higher Education in
			Review,
			Pennsylvania State University/ Todd
			Shipman, Education,
			Foreign Language &
			Literature Librarian,
			Auburn University
4	Reconstructing	Read: Bastedo	Michael Bastedo-
(February 3)	Theory	(2012) p. 335-354	Associate Professor; Director, Center for
(February 3)		Final Paper Title	the Study of Higher
		and Brief	and Postsecondary
		<b>Description Due</b>	Education,
			University of
	0 : 1:	D 1 II 1 1	Michigan
5	Organizational Theory In Higher	Read: Hendrickson (2012) p. 18-55	Lester Goodchild, Distinguished
(February 10)	Education	(2012) p. 16-33	Professor of
(1 cordary 10)	Education	Read: Bastedo	International and
	Reinvigorating Core	(2012) p. 45-85,	Comparative
	Literature	118-180	Education,
			University of
			Massachusetts- Boston / William
			Tierney- University
			Professor and
			Wilbur-Kieffer
			Professor of Higher

Education as Director of t	10 ( 0-
Litector of t	
Pullias Cent	
Higher Educ	
University o	
Southern Ca	lifornia
6 Global Issues in Read: Hendrickson Linda Serra	
Higher Education (2012) p. 56-82 Hagedorn,	
(February 17) Professor, A	ssociate
Read: Bastedo Dean of	
(2012) p. 86-117, Undergradua	ate
181-224 Programs, S	
Services, Di	
(Group 1 and Equity,	-
Presentation) Community	ana
	va Ctata
Program, Io	wa State
Literature Review University	
Essay Due	1
7 Diversity in Higher Read: Bastedo Jerlando Jac	
(February 24) Education (2012) p. 225-277 Vilas Distin	-
Professor of	
(Group 2   Education, I	
Presentation   of Wisconsi	n's
Equity and I	nclusion
Laboratory,	
University o	f
Wisconsin	
8 Legal Issues in Read: Hendrickson Crystal	
(March 3) Higher Education (2012) p. 138-178 Chambers	_
Associate Pr	ofessor
(Group 3 Coordinator	,
Presentation) Education D	-
Program, Ea	
Carolina Un	
9 Spring Break	iversity
(March 10)	
	ant .
Boundary Spanners: Read: Hendrickson Joann Haysh	
(March 17) Trusteeship and The (2012) p. 87-137 & Executive V	ice
Academic 199-266 President at	,
Presidency Hampton Un	
Peer Review of and former l	resident
External Two Papers of Lincoln	
Constituency University in	
Interest Impact on Oklahoma (I	
Higher Education female Afric	an
American co	llege

4 1 04 4
t in the State
noma)/
Large,
e Vice
t and Chief
d Officer,
University/
Huffstead,
onal
ogy-PhD
te, Auburn
ity
Sellers,
esident of
Affairs and
ent
ment,
e University
-
ston Gales,
te Professor,
arolina State
ity
Hendrickson
rofessor of
on,
vania State
ity

15	Influencing	Read: Cohen (2012)	
(April 21)	Powerful People	p. 145-210 & 219-	
		230	
		Individual	
		Presentations	
16		Individual	
(April 28)		Presentations	