Survey of Non-Tenure Track Faculty Responses from Instructors, Agriculture Extension Faculty, Clinical Faculty, and Research Faculty

Overall

The Non-Tenure Track Faculty Survey was sent to 774 possible respondents; 274 recipients responded to the survey and another 9 responded to the Non-Tenure Track Faculty Committee Chair directly to say that the survey did not relate to their position. The overall response rate for the survey was 36.6%

The number of respondents by job title:

Other A Did not algorify themselves according to the four job titles

• 27 • Did not classify themselves according to the four job titles

Total respondents

Items on Survey

The Survey contained 6 items. Some were descriptive; others assessed satisfaction and allowed respondents to provide open-ended comments.

The descriptive items were:

- Identify your Department and give your name. (This item was optional and is not reported.)
- Identify your rank
- Identify your duties
- Identify any support you are eligible for

These items were taken from �Statement on Non-Tenure Track Faculty Members � published by the Modern Language Association and from the career-ladders describing the duties and ranks of Non-Tenure Track Clinical and Research Faculty.

The items intended to assess satisfaction were:

- Three items with Likert scale satisfaction rankings
- - 1. Your job duties are clearly defined
 - 2. Your job performance is evaluated appropriately
 - 3. If you have a career ladder, policies in your career ladder are relevant for your job
- An open-ended item asking about any concerns the respondent had about his or her position

These items were suggested by emails received from Non-Tenure Track Faculty members during Fall Semester 2005.

A complete report of the responses to the Survey is available on the University Senate website at: https://auburn.edu/administration/governance/senate/au senate.html

Here are the responses to the satisfaction items arranged by job title of the respondents:

Instructor Responses

| | 4 very satisfied | 3 | 2 | 1 not satisfied |
|--|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| Your job duties are clearly defined | 64.7% | 27.5% | 4.9% | 2.9% |
| (n=102) | (66) | (28) | (5) | (3) |
| ♦Your job performance is evaluated | 37.9% | 37.9% | 13.7% | 10.5% |
| appropriately (n=95) | (36) | (36) | (13) | (10) |
| If you have a career ladder, policies in | 22.2% | 11.1% | 30.6% | 36.1% |
| your career ladder � are relevant for | (8) | (4) | (11) | (13) |
| your job (n=36) | | | | |

Agriculture Extension Faculty Responses

| | 4 very | 3 | 2 | 1 not |
|--|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | satisfied | | | satisfied |
| Your job duties are clearly defined | 30.3% | 45.5% | 21.2% | 3.0% |
| (n=66) | (20) | (30) | (14) | (2) |
| •Your job performance is evaluated | 26.6% | 39.1% | 23.4% | 10.9% |
| appropriately (n=64) | (17) | (25) | (15) | (7) |
| If you have a career ladder, policies in | 15.1% | 45.3% | 26.4% | 13.2% |
| your career ladder are relevant for your | (8) | (24) | (14) | (7) |
| job | | | | |
| (n=53) | | | | |

Clinical Faculty Responses

| | 4 very | 3 | 2 | 1 not |
|--|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | satisfied | | | satisfied |
| Your job duties are clearly defined | 31.0% | 41.3% | 20.7% | 6.9% |
| (n=29) | (9) | (12) | (6) | (2) |
| ❖Your job performance is evaluated | 27.2% | 45.4% | 18.1% | 9.1% |
| appropriately (n=33) | (9) | (15) | (6) | (3) |
| If you have a career ladder, policies in | 15.4% | 42.3% | 26.9% | 15.4% |
| your career ladder are relevant for your | (4) | (11) | (7) | (4) |
| job (n=26) | | | | |

Research Faculty Responses

| | 4 very satisfied | 3 | 2 | 1 not satisfied |
|--|------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| Your job duties are clearly defined | 40.0% | 25.0% | 25.0% | 10.0% |
| (n=20) | (8) | (5) | (5) | (2) |
| ♦Your job performance is evaluated | 33.3% | 33.3% | 20.0% | 13.3% |
| appropriately (n=15) | (5) | (5) | (3) | (2) |
| If you have a career ladder, policies in | 30.0% | 10.0% | 50.0% | 10.0% |
| your career ladder are relevant for your | (3) | (1) | (5) | (1) |
| job (n=10) | | | | |

Selected Responses to Open-Ended Item: Please describe any concerns you have about your Non-Tenure Track Faculty position.

- A number of Non-Tenure Track Faculty members expressed high levels of satisfaction with their jobs and with their supervisors.
- Many Non-Tenure Track Faculty members are concerned about the lack of respect they receive from Tenure-Track Faculty members.
- Some Non-Tenure Track Faculty members do not know what types of University and Departmental support they are eligible to receive.
- Some Non-Tenure Track Faculty members are concerned that they are not eligible to serve on Departmental committees or participate in other ways in the Departments where they are employed.

Instructor Concerns

- Performing tasks that are the responsibility of Tenure-Track Faculty
- Raises contingent on student evaluations
- Lack of tuition breaks for family and self
- Not eligible for all teaching grants

Agriculture Extension Faculty Concerns

- Fear of losing Non-Tenure Track Faculty status
- No consideration to bring ACES Non-Tenure Track Faculty up to regional salary average

Clinical Faculty Concerns

- Doubtful about promotion opportunities because Promotion and Tenure Committee does not understand the Clinical Faculty career ladder
- Differences in responsibilities for Tenure-Track and Non-Tenure Track Faculty not clear
- Being off-campus leads to a disconnection with colleagues

Research Faculty Concerns

- Overwork teaching and doing research for Tenure-Track Faculty
- Too many different bosses

Recommendations from the Non-Tenure Track Faculty Committee Based on the Survey

- Allow Non-Tenure Track Faculty members employed in ACES to retain their faculty status.
 Wait until after the faculty currently holding the positions retire before reclassifying the positions.
- Insure that members of the University Promotion and Tenure Committee understand the requirements for promotion of Clinical and Research Non-Tenure Track Faculty and that University policies are upheld.
- Acknowledge the important role that Non-Tenure Track Faculty members play in instruction, research, and outreach.
- Insure that Non-Tenure Track Faculty members are aware of the Departmental and University support they are eligible for.
- Insure that all faculty members are aware of their job titles and their status as non-tenure track or tenure-track.
- Establish a revision cycle for career ladders so that they can be reviewed frequently by faculty and administrators.
- Insure that Departments follow the descriptions in the career ladders when assigning duties to Non-Tenure Track Faculty members.
- Include career ladders in Chapter 4 of the *Faculty Handbook*. Chapter 4 appears to have been written before the career ladders were approved and, therefore, needs extensive revision.