

IGP Subcommittee report

General Faculty meeting
October 28, 2014

IGP Subcommittee

- Faculty research committee
 - Dr. Cova Arias, chair (College of Agriculture)
 - Dr. Paula Backsheider (College of Liberal Arts)
 - Dr. Kevin Huggins (College of Human Sciences)
 - Dr. Ya-Xiong Tao (College of Veterinary Medicine)
- Task
 - Provide a comprehensive assessment of the Intramural Grants Program
- Methods
 - Qualtrics survey
 - 2010-2013 IGP recipients

Survey

1. Regarding your IGP grant, indicate what level did you receive (1, 2, 3 or 4)
2. Enter funds received from the OVPR office as well as matching funds received from your College, Department or other sources.
3. List the graduate/undergraduate students whose work has been directly funded (through assistantships, research supplies, or additional learning experiences) by your IGP grant.
4. List scholarly outputs (i.e. publications, presentations at meetings, performances, invited seminars, etc..) that have been partially/fully funded by your IGP grant.
 1. Peer-review publication
 1. Book_____
 2. Book chapter_____
 3. Journal article_____
 2. Proceedings_____
 3. Meeting presentations_____
 4. Recitals_____
 5. Exhibits_____
 6. Seminars_____
 7. Others (explain)_____


Survey cont.

5. Specify what forms of interdisciplinary collaboration have been made possible through your IGP grant (e.g., publications, applications, membership on thesis/dissertation committees).
 1. Were you a co-investigator on the IGP grant?
 2. If yes, which option best describes your work on the project:
 1. More than proposed
 2. About as proposed
 3. Less than proposed
 4. None at all
 3. Which best describes the likelihood that there will be a joint proposal for extramural funding:
 1. A proposal has been submitted
 2. A proposal is planned in the next 12 months
 3. Probably
 4. Highly unlikely
6. Did the IGP lead you to new research venues? These may include but are not restricted to: grant applications, publications, collaborations with other researchers, implementation on new research methods, etc.... Please, be as detailed as possible.
7. Only for level 4 recipients: how is the equipment purchased with IGP funds being utilized? How many users are benefiting from this equipment? How have you made the equipment available to other investigators?
8. From your experience as PI, what areas of the IGP need improvement?

Results


- Survey was sent to 133 recipients
- 82 respondents (62% participation)

Distribution by IGP level




174 IGP grants awarded in the last four years

IGP Grant Colleges




Results

- Students funded (directly or indirectly) by IGP




Results

- Scholarly outputs



Interdisciplinary collaboration

- Forms of interdisciplinary collaboration derived from IGP




Level IV - Equipment

- Total users = 117

- Availability


■ Core facility ■ Intramural user group ■ Contact PI



Extramural funding


- Likelihood of developing a joint proposal for extramural funding

Extramural funds



New research areas

- Did the IGP lead you to new research venues?



Areas for improvement

