# **Auburn University**

## **Procurement and Business Services**

### Sole Source Justification

Pursuant to **Section 41-16-51 of the Alabama Code**, the University must procure all material, equipment, supplies and services via competitive means whenever practicable. However, Procurement may waive the competitive process and approve sole source purchases provided the requestor can adequately justify its use. Procurement judges the reasonability of sole source purchases based on the requestor's investigation, evaluation and documentation of alternate sources of supply and that rejection of similar products is based solely on their failure to meet specific and necessary specifications. In cases where an alternate supplier for a similar product cannot be identified, the requestor must document that a good faith effort has been made in seeking other sources. A listing of the unique technical specifications required of the product and the potential companies that were contacted in the search for alternate sources is necessary. Procurement may use this information in companies conducting its own market search. Sole source justification cannot be based on quality or price. Quality can be a subjective evaluation based on opinion. Public procurement law requires price considerations be evaluated via competitive bidding.

#### Please complete the Following (Print or Type):

| eReq Number:  | Date:      | Estimate | d Cost: \$ | _ |  |  |  |
|---|------------|----------|------------|---|--|--|--|
| Department:   |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
| Requestor's Name:   |            | Phone #: |            |   |  |  |  |
| Requestor's email:  |            |          |            | _ |  |  |  |
|   |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
| Requested Vendor:   |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
| Company Name:   |            |          |            | - |  |  |  |
| Contact Name:   |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
|   |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
| Is the recommended company the manufacturer? Yes No                                       |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
| Does the manufacturer sell the item(s) through distributors Yes No (If there are multiple |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |
| distributors, then this is not a sol  | e source.) |          |            |   |  |  |  |
|   |            |          |            |   |  |  |  |

## **Complete the Following Checklist**

A specific contractor/vendor is the only source of the required item because (check all that apply):

This is specialized software (only one vendor can provide.)

The required items are **proprietary** (patents, exclusive rights to distribute, trademarks/copyrights etc.) to the vendor; if so, provide documentation.

| To be compatible or interchangeable with   | existing hard | dware/software    |                       |      |  |
|--|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------|--|
| The requested product is essential in main   | taining expe  | rimental or admin | istrative continuity. |      |  |
| Existing equipment   | Age/Curre     | nt Value \$       | PO #                  |      |  |
| For continuity of research, continuing exused it for similar research.   | kperiments,   | standardization,  | other investigators   | have |  |
| This is a renewal; Terms of Renew  | al: Year      | of a              | Year Agreement        |      |  |
| If this is new software please provide: AU software approval, signed agreement if available and list all reasons why this software is the only one that can meet your needs. |               |                   |                       |      |  |
| Single/Sole Source Rationale   |               |                   |                       |      |  |
| Explain why the recommended company is the or the following:   | nly company   | that can meet the | e requirement. Addre  | :SS  |  |

 What technical specifications, capabilities (e.g. technological superiority, performance risks, standardization, continued experiments, etc.) exist to give the recommended company a significant advantage over any other company who can sell this product or complete this job?

It is important to adequately address key reasons for awarding an order without soliciting competitive bids. The rationale must be clear and convincing, avoiding generalities and unsupported conclusions.

| In a brief explanation, provide supporting evider considered should be listed, along with an expla requirements.   | nce for the conclusion; other sources and products nation of why they are unable to meet the |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| University in accordance with the highest ethical, In a position to make a decision for the University interests may be directly influenced or affected by To the best of your knowledge, is/does the individual | the outcome.   |
| YES NO   |  |
| <u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</u>   |  |
| This section must be completed.  |  |
| \$75,000 and the criteria for justification for Sole S   | ort to review comparable/equal equipment/software,   |
| Full Name of Principle Investigator:   | Date:  |
| Signature:   |  |
| Department Head:   | Date:  |
| Signature:   |  |